Rebuttal Testimony in Support of the WOONSOCKET WATER DIVISION

STEP INCREASE RATE FILING DOCKET NO. 4879

NOTICE OF MULTI-YEAR RATE PLAN COMPLIANCE FILING, PROPOSED TARIFF CHANGE, AND CHANGE IN RATE SCHEDULES PURSUANT TO R.I.G.L. § 39-15.1-4

MAY 2020

| 1 | Q. | Will you please provide your full name and your employer for the record? |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | А. | Yes, my name is David George Bebyn CPA. I am the president of B&E Consulting |
| 3 | LLO | С. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | Are you the same David Bebyn who filed direct and supplemental testimony in |
| 6 | this | s docket? |
| 7 | А. | Yes. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Q. | Have you reviewed the Memo filed by the witnesses from the Division? |
| 10 | А. | Yes. I would like to address aspects of each of those of Mr. Morgan on behalf of the |
| 11 | Div | vision. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Q. | Please summarize your response to Mr. Morgan's memo. |
| 14 | A. | Mr. Morgan had a recommendation that three of WWD's additional adjustments |
| 15 | sho | uld not be allowed. In Mr. Morgan's view, "the components of the Step Increases |
| 16 | esta | ablished in the Settlement Agreement limits the cost and revenue elements that are |
| 17 | sub | ject to change during the Multi-year Rate Plan." The elements he identified as being |
| 18 | out | side the limits of the Settlement Agreement are: 1) the Adjustment for Change in |
| 19 | Wa | ter Sales; 2) the Plant Master Maintenance Mechanic, and 3) the Extra Pension and |
| 20 | FIC | CA Expense. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Q. | Mr. Bebyn, where in the settlement document does it details which accounts are |
| 23 | to k | be reviewed? |
| 24 | A. | Settlement Schedule 12 is the source where Mr. Morgan obtains the information used |
| 25 | in t | he tables presented in his memo regarding which accounts are to be reviewed. That |
| 26 | sch | edule lists brief descriptions regarding the adjustments for the step increase. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | Q. | Can you address the first element – the adjustment for change in Water Sales? |
| 29 | А. | Yes. While there is no direct line item on settlement schedule 12 for water sales, I |
| 30 | beli | ieve that is implied by the line item for Light & Power. The Light & Power expenditure |
| | | |

1

1 can fluctuate based upon changes in the power rate and usage. The main driving force for 2 Light & Power usage is water production and distribution. The first year step (Rate year 3 2019) already made adjustments for the decrease in power rates. So the reduction in the Light & Power expenditure, which covered Calendar Year 2019, is a function of usage. 4 5 6 **Q.** Is there any evidence to support a Calendar Year 2019 decline in usage? 7 A. Yes. DGB Step 1 Schedule - 1c in my prefiled testimony demonstrated that there was a 8 \$174,053 reduction in sales revenue from actual Calendar Year 2019 vs. the settlement. 9 10 Q. Do you believe in your opinion that it is reasonable to allow for an adjustment to 11 sales given that Light & Power expense is being adjusted? 12 A. Yes, I do. In fact, the adjustment is conservative. While the Light & Power adjustment 13 is made to reconcile it to Calendar Year 2019, the Sales adjustment does not. The sales 14 adjustment maintains a four average rather than the usage for Calendar Year 2019. This 15 updated four-year average result is a much smaller adjustment for water sales than the 16 adjustment would have been using Calendar Year 2019. It would be unreasonable to adjust 17 the Light & Power expense while not adjusting the resulting loss or gain Sales usage, 18 which drives the Light & Power expense fluctuation. 19 20 O. Mr. Bebyn, are you still proposing the \$46,243 adjustment from your prefiled 21 testimony? 22 A. No. In preparing the data response to Division 6-9, it was noted that my originally filed 23 four-year average for wholesale sales did not include the FY 2019 usage for Cumberland. 24 This oversite was due to FY 2016 being the first year Cumberland began to purchase water 25 from WWD. I have reduced the adjustment to \$6,337, as a result, of correcting the 26 adjustment in response to Division 6-9. 27 28 29

Q. Can you address the second element – the adjustment for the Plant Master Maintenance Mechanic?

A. Yes. Mr. Morgan recommends that adjustment not be allowed since "this is akin to any
other estimate that is made during a rate case that ends up being different than expected."
Furthermore, as previously mentioned, he believes that the "Settlement Agreement limits
the cost and revenue elements that are subject to change during the Multi-year Rate Plan,"
thus, this would be outside the review of the multi-year plan. I disagree since the Multiyear Rate Plan allows for adjustments to labor costs and, as a result, should be included in
the increase.

10

11 Q. How are labor costs addressed in the multi-year plan?

12 A. There is a line item for inflation on labor costs in the multi-year plan. In the settlement 13 agreement, a 2% rate was used as a placeholder. It should be noted that the base rate year 14 did not project any increase for labor. This 2% was maintained in the original step filing, 15 which generates \$22,049 additional cost, which is shown in the settlement. The actual 16 increase should be 7.6% (2.6% for FY 2019 and 5% for FY 2020), as reported in response 17 to Division 6-8. This would mean that the adjustment should be an \$83,787 increase at 7.6%, which is an additional \$53,657 of cost. I am recommending that this adjustment be 18 19 made and have included it in my rebuttal schedules.

20

21 Q. Mr. Bebyn, this line item only mentions inflation, why should it also cover

22 changes in positions?

A. It should cover it to be consistent with how this line item is handled in other Multi-year plan filings. In Pawtucket's Multi-year plan filing in Docket #4550, the labor costs were not only adjusted with a given percentage, but the detailed labor budget was projected at the step rate year levels. This total is compared to the previous detail, and the difference was the adjustment. The difference between these two details did not reflect only salary increases but also changes positions. While most of the changes in positions reflected changes from class steps for utility workers, there was a case where there was a total

3

| 1 | change. In the second step increase, Pawtucket swapped an engineering intern for a Water |
|----|--|
| 2 | CAD/GIS/Records coordinator with an increased salary level. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Q. Did the Division support this approach for labor costs? |
| 5 | A. The Division prepared a memo, which was authored by Mr. Morgan and Mr. Mierzwa, |
| 6 | that made no change to the proposed labor projections in Docket 4550 step two increase. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Q. Can you address the last element – the adjustment for the Extra Pension and |
| 9 | FICA Expense? |
| 10 | A. Yes. Regarding this line item, I would agree with Mr. Morgan with its removal. If I |
| 11 | base my position on labor cost with the treatment of Pawtucket in Docket #4550, then I |
| 12 | think it's reasonable to reflect the treatment of Pension and FICA expense accordingly. In |
| 13 | Docket #4550, that was no adjustment for this expense in that case. In light of that |
| 14 | treatment, I agree that no adjustment be made for Extra Pension and FICA Expense. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Q. Mr. Bebyn, do you have an additional adjustment to make on your rebuttal |
| 17 | schedules? |
| 18 | A. Yes. After making the adjustments for sales, labor inflation, and removing the extra |
| 19 | pension and FICA, the net increase would have been higher than our initial request. An |
| 20 | adjustment of (\$3,770) is needed to keep the increase in line with our initial request. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Q. Does that conclude your rebuttal testimony? |
| | |

23 A. Yes.

Proposed Step Increase - RY 2020 Woonsocket Water Division DGB Step 1 Schedule - 1 Rebuttal

YEAR 2 of 5 year plan - RY 2020/2021 (FYE 5/31/21)

Rate Year (FYE 5/31/19) Revenue Requirement

| \$ 8,287,155 See | Joint Settlement Schedule 2.0 page 3 of 3 |
|------------------|---|
|------------------|---|

| Step Increases for 2020/2021 - Se | ee below |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| New Debt Reserve Funding | 170,000 |
| Plant Op Contract (existing plant) | 59,992 |
| Remove one time Chemical Credit | 145,717 |
| Light & Power Increase | (19,552) |
| Property & Fire Taxes Increase | 1,998 |
| Inflation Labor @ 2% | 75,706 |
| Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.5% | 34,797 |
| Rate Case Expense to cover Step | 16,000 |
| Adjustment for change in Water Sales | 6,337 |
| PLANT MASTER MAINT MECHANIC | 50,283 |
| Extra Pension and Fica expense | - |
| adjustment in order to not exceed the | |
| filed amount of \$541,362 | (3,770) |
| Operating Reserve Increase 1.5% | 3,854 |
| | 541,362 |

Rate Year (FYE 5/31/20) Revenue Requirement Proposed Step Increase (FYE 5/31/21) Revenue Requirement \$ 8,828,517 6.53%

Revenue from rates \$8,828,517

Misc. Revenue321,852Total Rate Year Revenue\$9,150,369

| | Originally Filed Step Increases for 2020 - See Docket 4879 Joint Settlement Schedule 12.1 page 1 of 2 | Adjustment to Step 1 Increase | Adjusted Step 1 Increase | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| New Debt Reserve Funding | 170,000 | | 170,000 | |
| Plant Op Contract (existing plant) Remove one time Chemical Credit | 52,407 145,717 | 7,585 | 59,992 145,717 | See DGB Step 1 Schedule - 1a |
| Light & Power Increase Property & Fire Taxes Increase | - 1,998 | (19,552) | - | See DGB Step 1 Schedule - 1a |
| Inflation Labor @ 2% Inflation Non-Labor @ 2.5% Rate Case Expense to cover Step | 22,049 34,797 16,000 | 53,657 | , | rebuttal testimony |
| Adjustment for change in water Sales | | 6,337 | 6,337 | Response to Division 6-9 |
| PLANT MASTER MAINT MECHANIC position not eliminated | | 50,283 | 50,283 | See DGB Step 1 Schedule - 1a |
| Pension and Fica expense on PLANT MASTER MAINT MECHANIC | | - | - | rebuttal testimony |
| adjustment in order to not exceed the filed amount of \$541,362 Operating Reserve Increase 1.5% | <u>3,854</u> 446,822 | (3,770) - 94,540 | (3,770) 3,854 541,362 | rebuttal testimony |

Proposed Step Rates - RY 2020/2021

Woonsocket Water Division

Proposed Step Increase (FYE 5/31/21) Revenue Requirement

6.53%

| | | Current Rate | | Step 1 Step Increase 2020 | | Step 1 Percentage Increase | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Fire Protection | | | | | | |
| Comm | unities Outside Woonsocket | | | | | | |
| 4 | Inch | \$ | 127.22 | \$ | 135.53 | 6.53% | |
| 6 | Inch | \$ | 369.55 | \$ | 393.69 | 6.53% | |
| | Per Bill | \$ | 9.44 | \$ | 10.06 | 6.53% | |
| Private | Fire Protection | | | | | | |
| 2 | Inch | \$ | 42.59 | \$ | 45.37 | 6.53% | |
| 3 | Inch | \$ | 86.48 | \$ | 92.13 | 6.53% | |
| 4 | | \$ | 163.02 | \$ | 173.67 | 6.53% | |
| 6 | | \$ | 425.51 | \$ | 453.31 | 6.53% | |
| 8 | Inch | \$ | 878.34 | \$ | 935.72 | 6.53% | |
| 10 | | \$ | 1,542.40 | \$ | 1,643.15 | 6.53% | |
| Minimum | Service Charge | | | | | | |
| Custon | ter Service Charge All Ratepa | iyers | | | | | |
| 5/8 | Inch | \$ | 18.41 | \$ | 19.62 | 6.53% | |
| 3/4 | Inch | | 22.18 | \$ | 23.63 | 6.53% | |
| 1 | Inch | \$ | 25.21 | \$ | 26.86 | 6.53% | |
| 1 1/2 | Inch | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 38.69 | \$ | 41.21 | 6.53% | |
| 2 | Inch | \$ | 50.48 | \$ | 53.78 | 6.53% | |
| 3 | Inch | \$ | 65.96 | \$ | 70.27 | 6.53% | |
| 4 | Inch | \$ | 95.34 | \$ | 101.57 | 6.53% | |
| 6 | Inch | \$ | 161.04 | \$ | 171.56 | 6.53% | |
| 8 | Inch | \$ | 274.61 | \$ | 292.55 | 6.53% | |
| 10 | Inch | \$ | 389.79 | \$ | 415.25 | 6.53% | |
| Additio | onal Fire Protection Service C | harg | e Only Rate | payers | in Woonsock | et | |
| 5/8 | Inch | \$ | 14.19 | \$ | 15.12 | 6.53% | |
| 3/4 | Inch | \$ | 20.16 | \$ | 21.47 | 6.53% | |
| 1 | Inch | \$ | 24.95 | \$ | 26.58 | 6.53% | |
| 1 1/2 | Inch | \$ | 46.27 | \$ | 49.30 | 6.53% | |
| 2 | Inch | \$ | 64.94 | \$ | 69.18 | 6.53% | |
| 3 | Inch | \$ | 89.42 | \$ | 95.26 | 6.53% | |
| 4 | Inch | \$ | 135.92 | \$ | 144.80 | 6.53% | |
| 6 | Inch | \$ \$ \$ | 239.88 | \$ | 255.55 | 6.53% | |
| 8 | Inch | \$ | 419.58 | \$ | 446.98 | 6.53% | |
| 10 | Inch | \$ | 601.83 | \$ | 641.14 | 6.53% | |
| Metered Rates | | | | | | | |
| Wholesale | Rate per 1,000,000 gallons | \$ | 4,995.32 | \$ | 5,321.64 | 6.53% | |
| Retail | Rate per 100 Cubic Feet | \$ | 4.41 | \$ | 4.70 | 6.53% | |

Impact of Proposed Rates - RY 2020/2021 Woonsocket Water Division

DGB Step 1 Schedule - 3 Rebuttal

| | | | Count or Usage | | Current Revenue | | Proposed Revenue | | Dollar crease | % Increase |
|------|--|--------------------|----------------|----|--------------------|----|---------------------|----|------------------|------------|
| | Ratepayers in the City of Woonsocket | | | | | | | | | |
| 5/8 | Inch Meter | 7,000 cu ft/yr | 7,000 | \$ | 439.26 | \$ | 467.96 | \$ | 29 | 6.53% |
| 5/8 | Inch Meter | 8,000 cu ft/yr | 8,000 | \$ | 483.38 | \$ | 514.94 | \$ | 32 | 6.53% |
| 5/8 | Inch Meter | 20,000 cu ft/yr | 20000 | \$ | 1,012.81 | \$ | 1,078.97 | \$ | 66 | 6.53% |
| 1 | Inch Meter | 50,000 cu ft/yr | 50,000 | \$ | 2,406.61 | \$ | 2,563.82 | \$ | 157 | 6.53% |
| 4 | Inch Meter | 500,000 cu ft/yr | 500,000 | \$ | 22,984.52 | \$ | 24,485.99 | \$ | 1,501 | 6.53% |
| 6 | Inch Meter | 1,000,000 cu ft/yr | 1,000,000 | \$ | 45,722.59 | \$ | 48,709.44 | \$ | 2,987 | 6.53% |
| Rate | Ratepayers in Other Communities serviced by Woonsocket | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 7,000 cu ft/yr | 7,000 | \$ | 382.49 | \$ | 407.47 | \$ | 25 | 6.53% |
| 5/8 | Inch Meter | 8,000 cu ft/yr | 8,000 | \$ | 426.61 | \$ | 454.46 | \$ | 28 | 6.53% |
| 5/8 | Inch Meter | 20,000 cu ft/yr | 20000 | \$ | 956.03 | \$ | 1,018.49 | \$ | 62 | 6.53% |
| 1 | Inch Meter | 50,000 cu ft/yr | 50,000 | \$ | 2,306.80 | \$ | 2,457.49 | \$ | 151 | 6.53% |
| 4 | Inch Meter | 500,000 cu ft/yr | 500,000 | \$ | 22,440.83 | \$ | 23,906.78 | \$ | 1,466 | 6.53% |
| 6 | Inch Meter | 1,000,000 cu ft/yr | 1,000,000 | \$ | 44,763.07 | \$ | 47,687.24 | \$ | 2,924 | 6.53% |